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#### Abstract

The present investigation is concerned with one dimensional problem in a homogeneous, isotropic thermoelastic medium with double porosity in the presence of Hall current subjected to thermomechanical sources. Thermoelastic theory with one relaxation time developed by Lord-Shulman [2] has been used to solve the problem. A state space approach has been applied to investigate the problem. As an application of the approach, normal force and thermal source have been taken to illustrate the utility of the approach. The expressions for the components of normal stress, equilibrated stress and the temperature change are obtained in the frequency domain and computed numerically. Numerical simulation is prepared for these quantities. The effect of Hall current and thermal relaxation time are depicted graphically on the resulting quantities for a specific model. Some particular cases of interest are also deduced from the present investigation.
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## 1. Introduction

The constitutive equations for thermoelastic material, which express the relations between the stress, the strain and the temperature change, were first introduced by Biot [1]. With Biot's theory, many solutions for thermal response caused by the change of temperature have been developed by numerous investigators. However, it involves a paradox that the thermal disturbances propagate at infinite speeds.

In recent years increasing attention has been made to remove this paradox and to develop the generalized theory of thermoelasticity, which was found to give more realistic results than the coupled or uncoupled theories of thermoelasticity, especially when short time effects or step temperature gradients are considered. The theory of generalized thermoelasticity with one relaxation time was first introduced by Lord and Shulman [2], who obtained a wave-type heat equation by postulating a new law of heat conduction instead of the classical Fourier's law. Hetnarski and Ignaczak [3] has presented a review on the generalized theories of thermoelasticity. A comprehensive work has been done in the generalized theories of thermoelasticity with one relaxation time by different investigators by considering different problems.

Porous media theories play an important role in many branches of engineering including material science, the petroleum industry, chemical engineering, biomechanics and other such fields of engineering. Representation of a fluid saturated porous medium as a single phase material has been virtually discarded. The material with the pore spaces such as concrete can be treated easily because all concrete ingredients have the same motion if the concrete body is deformed. However the situation is more complicated if the pores are filled with liquid and in that case the solid and liquid phases have different motions. Due to these different motions, the different material properties and the complicated geometry of pore structures, the mechanical behavior of a fluid saturated porous thermoelastic medium becomes very difficult. So researchers from time to time, have tried to overcome this difficulty and we see many porous media in the literature. A brief historical background of these theories is given by de Boer $[4,5]$.

As far as modern era is concerned Biot [6] proposed a general theory of threedimensional deformation of fluid saturated porous salts. Biot theory is based on the assumption of compressible constituents and till recently, some of his results have been taken as standard references and basis for subsequent analysis in acoustic, geophysics and other such fields. Another interesting theory is given by Bowen [7], de Boer and Ehlers [8] in which all the constituents of a porous medium are assumed to be incompressible. The fluid saturated porous material is modeled as a two phase system composed of an incompressible solid phase and incompressible fluid phase, thus meeting the many problems in engineering practice, e.g. in soil mechanics.One important generalization of Biot's theory of poroelasticity that has been studied extensively started with the works by Barenblatt et al. [9], where the double porosity model was first proposed to express the fluid flow in hydrocarbon reservoirs and aquifers.

The double porosity model represents a new possibility for the study of important problems concerning the civil engineering. It is well-known that, under supersaturation conditions due to water of other fluid effects, the so called neutral pressures generate unbearable stress states on the solid matrix and on the fracture faces, with severe (sometimes disastrous) instability effects like landslides, rock fall or soil fluidization (typical phenomenon connected with propagation of seismic waves). In such a context it seems possible, acting suitably on the boundary pressure state, to regulate the internal pressures in order to deactivate the noxious effects related to neutral pressures; finally, a further but connected positive effect could be lightening of the solid matrix/fluid system.

Wilson and Aifanits [10] presented the theory of consolidation with the double porosity. Khaled, Beskos and Aifantis [11] employed a finite element method to consider the numerical solutions of the differential equation of the theory of consolidation with double porosity developed by Aifantis[10]. Wilson and Aifantis [12] discussed the propagation of acoustics waves in a fluid saturated porous medium. The propagation of acoustic waves in a fluid-saturated porous medium containing a continuously distributed system of fractures is discussed. The porous medium is assumed to consist of two degrees of porosity and the resulting model thus yields three types of longitudinal waves, one associated with the elastic properties of the matrix material and one each for the fluids in the pore space and the fracture space.

Beskos and Aifantis [13] presented the theory of consolidation with double porosity-II and obtained the analytical solutions to two boundary value problems. Khalili and Valliappan [14] studied the unified theory of flow and deformation in double porous media. Aifantis [15-19] introduced a multi-porous system and studied the mechanics of diffusion in solids. Moutsopoulos et al. [20] obtained the numerical simulation of transport phenomena by using the double porosity/diffusivity continuum model. Khalili and Selvadurai [21] presented a fully coupled constitutive model for thermo-hydro-mechanical analysis in elastic media with double porosity structure. Pride and Berryman [22] studied the linear dynamics of double-porosity dual-permeability materials. Straughan [23] studied the stability and uniqueness in double porous elastic media.

Svanadze [24-28] investigated some problems on elastic solids, viscoelastic solids and thermoelastic solids with double porosity. Scarpetta et al. [29, 30] proved the uniqueness theorems in the theory of thermoelasticity for solids with double porosity and also obtained the fundamental solutions in the theory of thermoelasticity for solids with double porosity.

In recent years the state space description of linear systems has been used extensively in various areas of engineering, such as the analysis of control systems. The state space approach offers an attractive way to avoid the difficulties of the traditional linear model approach. The state-space representation is a mathematical model of a physical system as a set of input, output and state variables related by first-order differential equations. To abstract from the number of inputs, outputs and states, the variables are expressed as vectors. If the dynamical system is linear and time invariant, the differential and algebraic equations may be written in matrix form. The state-space representation provides a convenient and compact way to model and analyze systems with multiple inputs and outputs.

Bahar and Hetnarski investigated good number of problems in thermoelasticity by using state space approach [31-36]. Also Ezzat et.al. [37], Maghraby et al. [38], Youssef and Al-Lehaibi [39], Othman [40], Elisbai and Youseff [41] and Sherief and El-sayed [42] investigated different types of problems in different media by using state space approach

The foundations of magnetoelasticity were presented by Knopoff [43] and Chadwick[44] and developed by Kaliski and Petykiewicz[45]. An interesting attention is being devoted to the interaction between magnetic field and strain field in a thermoelastic solid due to its many applications in the fields of geophysics, plasma physics and related topics. In all papers quoted it was assumed that the interaction s between the two fields take place by means of the Lorentz forces appearing in the
equations of motion and by means of a term entering Ohm's law and describing the electric field produced by the velocity of a material particle, moving in a magnetic field.

When the magnetic field is very strong, the conductivity will be a tensor and the effect of Hall current cannot be neglected. The conductivity normal to the magnetic field is reduced due to the free spiraling of electrons and ions about the magnetic lines of force before suffering collisions and a current is induced in a direction normal to both the electric and magnetic fields. This phenomenon is called the Hall effect. Authors like Sarkar and Lahiri [46], Salem [47], Zakaria [48-50], Attia [51] have considered the effect of Hall currents for two dimensional problems in micropolar thermoelasticity.

In the present paper, we formulate the state space approach to boundary value problem for thermoelastic material with double porosity structure in the presence of of Hall current with one relaxation time subjected to thermomechanical sources. The expressions for normal stress, equilibrated stresses and temperature distribution are obtained in closed form, computed numerically and represented graphically for normal force and thermal source. The effect of Hall currents and thermal relaxation time are shown graphically for the resulting quantities.

## 2. Basic equations

Following Iesan and Quintanilla [52], Lord and Shulman [2], the field equations and the constitutive relations for homogeneous thermoelastic material with double porosity structure, when the Hall current is taken into account, can be written as:

### 2.1. Equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \Delta u_{i}+(\lambda+\mu) u_{j, j i}+b \varphi_{, i}+d \psi_{, i}-\beta T_{, i}+F_{i}=\rho \ddot{u}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Equilibrated stress equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \Delta \varphi+b_{1} \Delta \psi-b u_{r, r}-\alpha_{1} \varphi-\alpha_{3} \psi+\gamma_{1} T=\kappa_{1} \ddot{\varphi}  \tag{2}\\
& b_{1} \Delta \varphi+\gamma \Delta \psi-d u_{r, r}-\alpha_{3} \varphi-\alpha_{2} \psi+\gamma_{2} T=\kappa_{2} \ddot{\psi} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3. Equation of heat conduction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\tau_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[\rho C^{*} \dot{T}+\beta T_{0} \dot{e}_{i i}+\gamma_{1} T_{0} \dot{\phi}+\gamma_{2} T_{0} \dot{\psi}\right]=K^{*} \Delta \mathrm{~T} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4. Constitutive relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{i j}=\lambda e_{r r} \delta_{i j}+2 \mu e_{i j}+b \delta_{i j} \varphi+d \delta_{i j} \psi-\beta \delta_{i j} T  \tag{5}\\
\sigma_{i}=\alpha \varphi_{, i}+b_{1} \psi_{, i}  \tag{6}\\
\zeta_{i}=b_{1} \varphi_{, i}+\gamma \psi_{, i} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The generalized Ohm's law including Hall current:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\sigma_{0}\left(E_{i}+\mu_{0} \varepsilon_{i j r} u_{j, t} H_{r}-\frac{\mu_{0}}{e n_{e}} \varepsilon_{i j r} J_{j} H_{r}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{i}=\mu_{0} \varepsilon_{i j r} J_{j} H_{r}$ is the Lorentz force; $\sigma_{0}\left(=n_{e} e^{2} t_{e} / m_{e}\right)$ is the electrical conductivity; $\mu_{0}$ is the magnetic permeability; e is the charge of an electron; $n_{e}$ is the number density of electrons; $t_{e}$ is the electron collision time; $m_{e}$ is the electron mass; $E_{i}$ is the intensity tensor of the electric field; $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are Lame's constants; $\rho$ is the mass density; $\beta=(3 \lambda+2 \mu) \alpha_{t} ; \alpha_{t}$ is the coefficient of linear thermal expansion; $C^{*}$ is the specific heat at constant strain; $u_{i}$ are the displacement components; $t_{i j}$ is the stress tensor; $\varepsilon_{i j r}$ is the permutation symbol; $\mu_{0}$ is the magnetic permeability; $J_{r}$ is the conduction current density ; $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are coefficients of equilibrated inertia; $\nu_{1}$ is the volume fraction field corresponding to pores and $\nu_{2}$ is the volume fraction field corresponding to fissures ; $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the volume fraction fields corresponding to $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ respectively; $\sigma_{1}$ is the equilibrated stress corresponding to $\nu_{1} ; \zeta_{1}$ is the equilibrated stress corresponding to $\nu_{2}, K^{*}$ is the coefficient of thermal conductivity; $\tau_{0}$ is the relaxation time and $b, d, b_{1}, \gamma, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are constitutive coefficients; $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker's delta; $T$ is the temperature change measured form the absolute temperature $T_{0}\left(T_{0} \neq 0\right)$, a superposed dot represents differentiation with respect to time variable t .

$$
\nabla=\hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \quad \Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}
$$

are the gradient and Laplacian operators, respectively.

## 3. Formulation and solution of the problem

We consider a homogeneous, isotropic, perfectly conducting thermoelastic solid with double porosity occupying the region $0 \leq x<\infty$. For one dimensional problem, we take $u\left(x_{1}, t\right), \varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right), \psi\left(x_{1}, t\right), T\left(x_{1}, t\right)$. A uniform very strong magnetic field of strength $H_{0}$ is assumed to be applied in the positive y - direction and we also assume that $E=0$. Under these assumptions, the generalized Ohm's law gives $J_{1}=J_{2}=0$ everywhere in the medium.
The current density components $J_{3}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{3}=\frac{\sigma_{0} \mu_{0} H_{0}}{1+m^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\omega_{e} t_{e}$ is the Hall parameter and $\omega_{e}=e \mu_{0} H_{0} / m_{e}$ is the electron frequency. Let us introduce the following non-dimensional variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{\omega_{1}}{c_{1}} x_{1} & u^{\prime}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{c_{1}} u & t_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{t_{i j}}{\beta t_{0}}
\end{align*} \quad M=\frac{\sigma_{0} \mu_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2}}{\rho \omega_{1}}
$$

where $c_{1}^{2}=\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\rho}, \omega_{1}=\frac{\rho C^{*} c_{1}^{2}}{K^{*}}$ and $M$ is the Hartmann number or magnetic parameter.
Making use of dimensionless quantities given in (10) in equations (1)-(4) (dropping primes for convenience), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\delta_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{1}}+\delta_{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{1}}-\delta_{3} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_{1}}-\left(\frac{M}{1+m^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
& \delta_{4} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\delta_{5} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\delta_{6} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}-\delta_{7} \varphi-\delta_{8} \psi+\delta_{9} T=\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{12}\\
& \delta_{10} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\delta_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\delta_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}-\delta_{13} \varphi-\delta_{14} \psi+\delta_{15} T=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{13}\\
& \tau_{11}\left[\delta_{16} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)+\delta_{17} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\delta_{18} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right]=\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{1}=\frac{b \alpha_{1}}{\rho_{1}^{2} k_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{d \alpha_{1}}{\rho C_{1}^{2} k_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{3}=\frac{\beta T_{0}}{\rho C_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{4}=\frac{\alpha}{C_{1}^{2} k_{1}} \\
& \delta_{5}=\frac{b_{1}}{C_{1}^{2} k_{1}} \quad \delta_{6}=\frac{b}{\alpha_{1}} \quad \delta_{7}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{k_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{8}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{k_{1} \omega_{1}^{2}} \\
& \delta_{9}=\frac{\gamma_{1} T_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} \quad \delta_{10}=\frac{b_{1}}{C_{1}^{2} k_{2}} \quad \delta_{11}=\frac{\gamma}{C_{1}^{2} k_{2}} \quad \delta_{12}=\frac{d k_{1}}{\alpha_{1} k_{2}}  \tag{15}\\
& \delta_{13}=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{k_{2} \omega_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{14}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{k_{2} \omega_{1}^{2}} \quad \delta_{15}=\frac{\gamma_{2} T_{0} k_{1}}{\alpha_{1} k_{2}} \quad \delta_{16}=\frac{\beta C_{1}^{2}}{K^{*} \omega_{1}} \\
& \delta_{17}=\frac{\gamma_{1} \alpha_{1} C_{1}^{2}}{K^{*} k_{1} \omega_{1}^{3}} \quad \delta_{18}=\frac{\gamma_{2} \alpha_{1} C_{1}^{2}}{K^{*} k_{1} \omega_{1}^{3}} \quad \tau_{11}=\left(1+\tau_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming the time harmonic solution of the equations (11)-(14) as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u(x, t), \varphi(x, t), \psi(x, t), T(x, t))=(\bar{u}, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{T}) e^{-i \omega t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the frequency.
Equations (11)-(14) with the aid of equation (16) yield,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{u}_{, 11}=N_{1} \bar{u}+N_{2} \bar{\phi}_{, 1}+N_{3} \bar{\psi}_{, 1}+N_{4} \bar{T}_{, 1}  \tag{17}\\
\bar{\phi}_{, 11}=N_{5} \bar{u}_{, 1}+N_{6} \bar{\phi}+N_{7} \bar{\psi}+N_{8} \bar{T}  \tag{18}\\
\bar{\psi}_{, 11}=N_{9} \bar{u}_{, 1}+N_{10} \bar{\phi}+N_{11} \bar{\psi}+N_{12} \bar{T}  \tag{19}\\
\bar{T}_{, 11}=N_{13} \bar{u}_{, 1}+N_{14} \bar{\phi}+N_{15} \bar{\psi}+N_{16} \bar{T} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=-i \omega\left(\frac{M}{1+m^{2}}\right)-\omega^{2} \quad N_{2}=-\delta_{1} \quad N_{3}=-\delta_{2} \quad N_{4}=\delta_{3}, M_{1}=\frac{-\delta_{5}}{\delta_{4}} \\
& M_{2}=\frac{\delta_{6}}{\delta_{4}} \quad M_{3}=\frac{\delta_{7}-\omega^{2}}{\delta_{4}} \quad M_{4}=\frac{\delta_{8}}{\delta_{4}} \quad M_{5}=\frac{-\delta_{9}}{\delta_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{6}=\frac{-\delta_{10}}{\delta_{11}} \quad M_{7}=\frac{\delta_{12}}{\delta_{11}} \quad M_{8}=\frac{\delta_{13}}{\delta_{11}} \quad M_{9}=\frac{\delta_{14}-\omega^{2}}{\delta_{11}} \\
& M_{10}=\frac{-\delta_{15}}{\delta_{11}} \quad M_{11}=\frac{\delta_{17}}{\delta_{20}} \quad M_{12}=\frac{\delta_{18}}{\delta_{20}} \quad M_{13}=\frac{\delta_{19}}{\delta_{20}} \quad M_{14}=\frac{1}{\delta_{20}} \\
& \delta_{20}=\frac{\delta_{16}}{-i \omega} \quad N_{13}=\tau_{11} \delta_{16} \quad N_{14}=\tau_{11} \delta_{17} \quad N_{15}=\tau_{11} \delta_{18} \quad N_{16}=\tau_{11} \\
& M_{15}=1-M_{1} M_{6}, N_{5}=\frac{M_{1} M_{7}+M_{2}}{M_{15}} \quad N_{6}=\frac{M_{1} M_{8}+M_{3}}{M_{15}} \\
& N_{7}=\frac{M_{1} M_{9}+M_{4}}{M_{15}} \quad N_{8}=\frac{M_{1} M_{10}+M_{5}}{M_{15}} \quad N_{9}=M_{6} N_{5}+M_{7} \\
& N_{10}=M_{6} N_{6}+M_{8} \quad N_{11}=M_{6} N_{7}+M_{9} \quad N_{12}=M_{6} N_{8}+M_{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. State-space formulation

Choosing as a state variable displacement $\bar{u}$, volume fraction $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$, temperature change $\bar{T}$ in the $x$-direction, then the equations can be written in the matrix form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V(x, \omega)}{d x}=A(\omega) V(x, \omega) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the values of $A(\omega), V(x, \omega)$ are given in the appendix I.
The formal solution of system (21) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, \omega)=\exp [A(\omega) x] V(0, \omega) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Value of $V(0, \omega)$ is given in the appendix I.
We shall use the well-known Cayley-Hamilton theorem to find the form of the matrix $\exp [A(\omega) x]$. The characteristics equation of the matrix $A(\omega)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{8}+D_{1} \lambda^{6}+D_{2} \lambda^{4}+D_{3} \lambda^{2}+D_{4}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}=-N 1-N 6-N 11-N_{16}-N 2 N 5-N 3 N 9-N 4 N_{13} \\
& D_{2}=N 1 N 6+N 1 N 11+N 1 N_{16}+N 6 N 11+N 6 N_{16}-N 7 N 10-N 8 N_{14} \\
& +N 11 N_{16}-N 12 N_{15}-N 2 N 7 N 9+N 3 N 6 N 9+N 2 N 5 N 11+N 2 N 5 N_{16} \\
& -N 3 N 5 N 10+N 3 N 9 N_{16}-N 2 N 8 N_{13}-N 4 N 5 N_{14}+N 4 N 6 N_{13} \\
& -N 4 N 9 N_{15}-N 3 N 12 N_{13}+N 4 N 11 N_{13} \\
& D_{3}=-N_{6} N_{11} N_{16}+N_{7} N_{10} N_{16}-N 1 N 6 N 11+N 1 N 7 N 10-N_{1} N_{6} N_{16} \\
& +N 1 N 8 N_{14}-N 1 N 11 N_{16}+N 1 N 12 N_{15}+N 6 N 12 N_{15}-N 7 N 12 N_{14} \\
& -N 8 N 10 N_{15}+N 8 N 11 N_{14}+N 2 N 7 N 9 N_{16}-N 3 N 6 N 9 N_{16}-N 2 N 8 N 9 N_{15} \\
& +N 3 N 8 N 9 N_{14}+N 4 N 6 N 9 N_{15}-N 4 N 7 N 9 N_{14}-N 2 N 5 N 11 N_{16} \\
& +N 3 N 5 N 10 N_{16}+N 2 N 5 N 12 N_{15}-N 2 N 7 N 12 N_{13}+N 2 N 8 N 11 N_{13} \\
& -N_{3} N_{5} N_{12} N_{14}+N 3 N 6 N 12 N_{13}-N 3 N 8 N 10 N_{13}-N 4 N 5 N 10 N_{15} \\
& +N 4 N 5 N 11 N_{14}-N 4 N 6 N 11 N_{13}+N 4 N 7 N 10 N_{13} \\
& D_{4}=N 1 N_{6}\left(N 11 N_{16}-N 12 N_{15}\right)+N 1 N 7\left(N 12 N_{14}-N 10 N_{16}\right) \\
& +N 1 N 8\left(N 10 N_{15}-N 11 N_{14}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (23) is biquadrate in $\lambda^{2}$, yield four roots says $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}$.
Now the Taylor series expansion for matrix exponential in equation (23) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp [A(\omega) x]=\int_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[A(\omega) x]^{n}}{n!} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Cayley-Hamilton theorem, this infinite series can be truncated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp [A(\omega) x]=a_{0} I+a_{1} A+a_{2} A^{2}+a_{3} A^{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are parameters depending on $x$ and $\omega$.
According to Cayley-Hamilton theorem the characteristic roots
$-\lambda_{1},-\lambda_{2},-\lambda_{3},-\lambda_{4}$ of the matrix A must satisfy equation (26). Therefore, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp \left[-\lambda_{1} x\right] & =a_{0} I-a_{1} \lambda_{1}+a_{2} \lambda_{1}{ }^{2}-a_{3} \lambda_{1}{ }^{3} \\
\exp \left[-\lambda_{2} x\right] & =a_{0} I-a_{1} \lambda_{2}+a_{2} \lambda_{2}{ }^{2}-a_{3} \lambda_{2}{ }^{3} \\
\exp \left[-\lambda_{3} x\right] & =a_{0} I-a_{1} \lambda_{3}+a_{2} \lambda_{3}{ }^{2}-a_{3} \lambda_{3}{ }^{3} \\
\exp \left[-\lambda_{4} x\right] & =a_{0} I-a_{1} \lambda_{4}+a_{2} \lambda_{4}{ }^{2}-a_{3} \lambda_{4}^{3} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the above system of equations, we obtain the value of parameters $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and these values are given in appendix.
Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp [A(\omega) x]=L(x, \omega) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(x, w)$ is a $8 \times 8$ matrix with the components:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{11}=a_{0}+a_{2} N_{1} \quad l_{12}=a_{3} R_{1} \quad l_{13}=a_{3} R_{2} \quad l_{14}=a_{3} R_{3} \\
& l_{21}=a_{3} R_{5} \quad l_{22}=a_{0}+a_{2} N_{6} \quad l_{23}=a_{2} N_{7} \quad l_{24}=a_{2} N_{8} \\
& l_{31}=a_{3} R_{9} \quad l_{32}=a_{2} N_{10} \quad l_{33}=a_{0}+a_{2} N_{11} \quad l_{34}=a_{2} N_{12} \\
& l_{41}=a_{3} R_{13} \quad l_{42}=a_{2} N_{14} \quad l_{43}=a_{2} N_{15} \quad l_{44}=a_{0}+a_{2} N_{16} \\
& R_{1}=N_{2} N_{6}+N_{3} N_{10}+N_{4} N_{14} \quad R_{2}=N_{2} N_{7}+N_{3} N_{11}+N_{4} N_{15} \\
& R_{3}=N_{2} N_{8}+N_{3} N_{12}+N_{4} N_{16} \\
& R_{5}=N_{1} N_{5} \quad R_{9}=N_{1} N_{9} \quad R_{13}=N_{1} N_{13}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting the equation (22) with the aid of equation (28) yield,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, \omega)=L(x, \omega) V(0, \omega) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}  \tag{30}\\
\bar{\varphi} \\
\bar{\psi} \\
\bar{T}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
l_{11} & l_{12} & l_{13} & l_{14} \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & l_{23} & l_{24} \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} & l_{34} \\
l_{41} & l_{42} & l_{43} & l_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3} \\
A_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## 5. Boundary conditions

A homogeneous isotropic thermoelastic solid with double porosity structure occupying the region $0 \leq x<\infty$ is considered. The bounding plane $x=0$ subjected to normal force and thermal source. Mathematically these can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { 1. } & t_{11}=-F_{1} \exp [-i \omega t] \\
\text { 2. } & \sigma_{1}=-F_{1} \exp [-i \omega t] \\
\text { 3. } & \zeta_{1}=-F_{1} \exp [-i \omega t] \\
\text { 4. } & T=F_{2} \exp [-i \omega t] \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are the magnitude of the force and constant temperature applied on the boundary.
Substituting the values of $u, \varphi, \psi, T, t_{11}, \sigma_{1}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ from the equations (5), (6), (7), (30) in the equations (31)-(34) and with the aid of equations (10) and (16), we obtain:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3} & Q_{4}  \tag{35}\\
Q_{5} & Q_{6} & Q_{7} & Q_{8} \\
Q_{9} & Q_{10} & Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{13} & Q_{14} & Q_{15} & Q_{16}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
A_{3} \\
A_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-F_{1} \\
-F_{1} \\
-F_{1} \\
F_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The values of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{16}$ are given in the appendix II.
Solving (35) for $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$ and substituting the resulting values in equation (30) yield the value of normal stress, equilibrated stresses and temperature distribution as,

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{11} & =\left(S_{1} \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma}+S_{2} \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}+S_{3} \frac{\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma}+S_{4} \frac{\Gamma_{4}}{\Gamma}\right) e^{-i \omega t}  \tag{36}\\
\sigma_{1} & =\left(S_{5} \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma}+S_{6} \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}+S_{7} \frac{\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma}+S_{8} \frac{\Gamma_{4}}{\Gamma}\right) e^{-i \omega t}  \tag{37}\\
\zeta_{1} & =\left(S_{9} \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma}+S_{10} \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}+S_{11} \frac{\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma}+S_{12} \frac{\Gamma_{4}}{\Gamma}\right) e^{-i \omega t}  \tag{38}\\
T & =\left(l_{41} \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma}+l_{42} \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}+l_{43} \frac{\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma}+l_{44} \frac{\Gamma_{4}}{\Gamma}\right) e^{-i \omega t} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. Particular cases

6.1. If $F_{2}=0$ in equation (36)-(39), we obtain the corresponding expressions for normal force.
6.2. If $F_{1}=0$ in equation (36)-(39), yields the corresponding expressions for thermal source.
6.3. If $m=0$ in equation (36)-(39), we obtain the corresponding expressions without the effect of Hall currents.

## 7. Numerical results and discussion

The material chosen for the purpose of numerical computation is copper, whose physical data is given by Sherief and Saleh [53] as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=7.76 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \quad c^{*}=3.831 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \quad \mu=3.86 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \\
& k=3.86 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Ns}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \quad \omega_{1}=1 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \quad T_{0}=0.293 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K} \\
& \alpha_{t}=1.78 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \quad t=0.1 \mathrm{~s}, \rho=8.954 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Kgm}^{-3} \\
& \text { Following Khalili [54], the double porous parameters are taken as: } \\
& \alpha_{2}=2.4 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \quad \alpha_{3}=2.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \quad \gamma=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~N} \\
& \alpha=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}=0.16 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \quad b_{1}=0.12 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~N} \quad d=0.1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \\
& \gamma_{2}=0.219 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \\
& k_{1}=0.1456 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \quad b=0.9 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \\
& \alpha_{1}=2.3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \\
& k_{2}=0.1546 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following Zakaria [49], the electric constants are taken as:

$$
\sigma_{0}=9.36 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{Col}^{2} / \mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{s} \quad H_{0}=10^{8} \mathrm{Col} / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{s}
$$

The software MATLAB has been used to determine the values of normal stress, equilibrated stresses and temperature distribution. The variation of these values with respect to distance $x$ have been shown in Figs. 1-8 and Figs. 9-16 for normal force and thermal source respectively. Figs. 1-4, Figs. 9-12 and Figs. 5-8, Figs. $13-16$ depicts the behavior of variation of normal stress, equilibrated stresses and temperature distribution with Hall parameter $(m)$ and relaxation time $\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ with respect to distance $x$ respectively. In Figs. 1-4 and Figs. 9-12, the solid lines corresponds to thermoelastic material with double porous structure without the effect of Hall currents(TWOH) and small dashes line corresponds to thermoelastic material with double porous structure with Hall current effect (TWH) whereas in Figs. 5-8 and Figs. 13-16, solid line corresponds to the value when relaxation time $\tau_{0}=0.02$ and small dashes line corresponds to $\tau_{0}=0.03$.

### 7.1. Normal force

Fig. 1 shows the variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ w.r.t distance x . The behavior of variation is oscillatory in nature for both TWOH and TWH. It is noticed that the magnitude values are smaller in case of TWH in comparison with TWOH.
Figs. 2 and 3 depict the variations of equilibrated stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ w.r.t. distance x respectively. The behavior is similar for both TWOH and TWH with the difference in magnitude value. The magnitude values of equilibrated stresses are more in case of TWH as compared to TWOH.
Fig. 4 represents the variation of temperature distribution T w.r.t distance x . It is evident that as away from the source, for TWOH , the magnitude values of T decreases whereas reverse behaviour is noticed for TDWH.
Fig. 5 shows the variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ w.r.t. distance x . The behavior of variation is oscillatory in nature for both the values of relaxation time. It is noticed that the magnitude values increase with increase in the value of relaxation time.
Fig. 6 and 7 depict the variation of equilibrated stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ w.r.t. distance x respectively. The behavior is similar for both the cases with the difference in magnitude value. It is found that the magnitude values increase with increase in the value of relaxation times.
Fig. 8 illustrates the variation of temperature distribution $T$ w.r.t. distance x. For $\tau_{0}=0.02$ the magnitude value of $T$ decreases for $0 \leq x<2.6$ and again increases
as moving away from the source wheras for $\tau_{0}=0.03$, as moving away from the source, the magnitude value decreases. To depict the comparison, the values of $T$ for $\tau_{0}=0.02$ have been magnified by multiplying 10 .
To its original values.

### 7.2. Thermal source

Fig. 9 shows the variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ w.r.t. distance $x$. The behavior of variation is oscillatory in nature for both TWOH and TWH. Also the magnitude value is smaller in case of TWOH in comparison to TWH near the application of the source while the reverse pattern is observed away from the source.
Fig. 10 and 11 depict the variation of equilibrated stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ w.r.t. distance x respectively. The variation is of oscillatory nature for both the cases while the magnitude value shows opposite behavior for all values of x.
Fig. 12 represents the variation of temperature distribution $T$ w.r.t. distance $x$. For TWOH, the magnitude value of T increases as moving away from the source while a reverse behavior is noticed in case of TWH.
Fig. 13 shows the variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ w.r.t. distance x . The variation is of oscillatory nature for both the values of relaxation time. The magnitude values also increases with increase in the time of relaxation.
Fig. 14 and 15 depict the variation of equilibrated stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ w.r.t. distance x respectively. The behavior is similar for both the cases with the difference in magnitude value. It is found that the magnitude values increases with increase in the value of relaxation time.


Figure 1 Variation of normal stress $t_{1} 1$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 2 Variation of equilibrated stress $\sigma_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 3 Variation of equilibrated stress $\zeta_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 4 Variation of temperature distribution $T$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 5 Variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 6 Variation of equilibrated stress $\sigma_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 7 Variation of equilibrated stress $\zeta_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 8 Variation of temperature distribution $T$ with distance $x$ (Normal force; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 9 Variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 10 Variation of equilibrated stress $\sigma_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 11 Variation of equilibrated stress $\zeta_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 12 Variation of temperature distribution $T$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of Hall current)


Figure 13 Variation of normal stress $t_{11}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 14 Variation of equilibrated stress $\sigma_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 15 Variation of equilibrated stress $\zeta_{1}$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of relaxation time)


Figure 16 Variation of temperature distribution $T$ with distance $x$ (Thermal source; Effect of relaxation time)

Fig. 16 illustrates the variation of temperature distribution $T$ w.r.t. distance x. For $\tau_{0}=0.02$, the magnitude value of T is smaller in comparison to the values when $\tau_{0}=0.03$ near the application of the source while the reverse pattern is noticed away from the source.

## 8. Conclusions

The behaviour of normal stress, equilibrated stresses and temperature distribution in an isotropic homogeneous thermoelastic material with double porosity structure for L-S theory under the effect of Hall currents has been investigated due to normal force and thermal source by using state space approach. It is observed that

1. For normal force, the behavior of normal stress is similar with and without the effect of Hall current for all values of $x$ but the magnitude value is larger in case where Hall current is not taken into consideration i.e. $m=0$ while for thermal source, the variation is similar for both the cases near the application of the source but an opposite pattern is observed as moving away from the source.
2. In case of normal force, the variation of equilibrated stresses is identical with and without the effect of Hall current for all values of $x$. It is observed that the magnitude value of the equilibrated stresses increases due to effect of Hall
current. For thermal source, the behavior of variation is oscillatory in nature with and without the Hall current effect but the magnitude values shows an opposite pattern.
3. The magnitude values of temperature distribution is larger under the effect of Hall currents in case of normal force wheras a reverse trend is observed for thermal source.
4. The magnitude values of normal stress and equilibrated stresses increases with the increase in relaxation time for both normal force and thermal source while the pattern of variation remains same.
5. The magnitude values of temperature distribution is large for greater value of relaxation time near the application of the source while reverse behavior is observed as moving away from the source.
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## Appendix I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(x, w)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
N_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & N_{3} & N_{4} \\
0 & N_{6} & N_{7} & N_{8} & N_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{10} & N_{11} & N_{12} & N_{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{14} & N_{15} & N_{16} & N_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& V(x, w)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}(x, w) \\
\bar{\varphi}(x, w) \\
\bar{\psi}(x, w) \\
\bar{T}(x, w) \\
(\bar{u}(x, w))_{, 1} \\
(\bar{\varphi}(x, w))_{, 1} \\
(\bar{\psi}(x, w))_{, 1} \\
(\bar{T}(x, w))_{, 1}
\end{array}\right] \quad V(0, w)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{u}(0 w) \\
\bar{\varphi}(0, w) \\
\bar{\psi}(0, w) \\
\bar{T}(0, w) \\
(\bar{u}(0, w))_{, 1} \\
(\bar{\varphi}(0, w))_{, 1} \\
\bar{\psi}(0, w))_{, 1} \\
(\bar{T}(0, w))_{, 1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& a_{0}=e^{-\lambda_{1} x}\left[1-\frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{2} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left.\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)\right)}+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& -e^{-\lambda_{3} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}-\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left.\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3 s}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{4} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}+\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right] \\
& a_{1}=-e^{-\lambda_{1} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{2} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& -e^{-\lambda_{3} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{4} x}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right] \\
& a_{2}=-e^{-\lambda_{1} x}\left[\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{2} x}\left[\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& -e^{-\lambda_{3} x}\left[\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{4} x}\left[\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right] \\
& a_{3}=-e^{-\lambda_{1} x}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{2} x}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& -e^{-\lambda_{3} x}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right]-e^{-\lambda_{4} x}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=P_{1}\left(Z_{1}+N_{1} Z_{3}\right)+P_{2}\left(a_{3}^{0} R_{5}\right)+P_{3}\left(a_{3}^{0} R_{9}\right)-a_{3}^{0} R_{13} \\
& Q_{2}=P_{1} R_{1} Z_{4}+P_{2}\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} N_{6}\right)+P_{3}\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} N_{11}\right)-a_{2}^{0} N_{14} \\
& Q_{3}=P_{1} R_{2} Z_{4}+P_{2}\left(a_{2}^{0} N_{7}\right)+P_{3}\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} N_{11}\right)-a_{2}^{0} N_{15} \\
& Q_{4}=P_{1} R_{3} Z_{4}+P_{2}\left(a_{2}^{0} N_{8}\right)+P_{3}\left(a_{2}^{0} N_{12}\right)-\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} N_{16}\right) \\
& Q_{5}=P_{4} R_{5} Z_{4}+P_{5} R_{9} Z_{4}, Q_{6}=P_{4}\left(Z_{1}+N_{6} Z_{3}\right)+P_{5} N_{10} Z_{3} \\
& Q_{7}=P_{4} N_{7} Z_{3}+P_{5}\left(Z_{1}+N_{11} Z_{3}\right) \quad Q_{8}=P_{4} N_{8} Z_{3}+P_{5} N_{12} Z_{3} \\
& Q_{9}=P_{5} R_{5} Z_{4}+P_{6} R_{9} Z_{4}, Q_{10}=P_{5}\left(Z_{1}+N_{6} Z_{3}\right)+P_{6} N_{10} Z_{3} \\
& Q_{11}=P_{5} N_{7} Z_{3}+P_{6}\left(Z_{1}+N_{11} Z_{3}\right) \quad Q_{12}=P_{5} N_{8} Z_{3}+P_{6} N_{12} Z_{3} \\
& Q_{13}=a_{3}^{0} R_{13} \quad Q_{14}=a_{2}^{0} N_{14} \quad Q_{15}=a_{2}^{0} N_{15} \quad Q_{16}=a_{0}^{0}+a_{2}^{0} N_{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\beta T_{0}} \quad P_{2}=\frac{b \alpha_{1}}{k_{1} \omega^{2} \beta T_{0}} \quad P_{3}=\frac{d \alpha_{1}}{k_{1} \omega^{2} \beta T_{0}} \\
& P_{4}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{k_{1} \omega^{2}} \quad P_{5}=\frac{b_{1} \alpha_{1}}{\alpha k_{1} \omega^{2}} \quad P_{6}=\frac{\gamma \alpha_{1}}{\alpha k_{1} \omega^{2}} \\
& Z_{1}=-\lambda_{1} D_{11}-\lambda_{2} D_{12}-\lambda_{3} D_{13}-\lambda_{4} D_{14} \\
& Z_{2}=-\lambda_{1} D_{21}-\lambda_{2} D_{22}-\lambda_{3} D_{23}-\lambda_{4} D_{24} \\
& Z_{3}=-\lambda_{1} D_{31}-\lambda_{2} D_{32}-\lambda_{3} D_{33}-\lambda_{4} D_{34} \\
& Z_{4}=-\lambda_{1} D_{41}-\lambda_{2} D_{42}-\lambda_{3} D_{43}-\lambda_{4} D_{44} \\
& Y_{1}=-\lambda_{1} D_{11} e^{-\lambda_{1} x}-\lambda_{2} D_{12} e^{-\lambda_{2} x}-\lambda_{3} D_{13} e^{-\lambda_{3} x}-\lambda_{4} D_{14} e^{-\lambda_{4} x} \\
& Y_{2}=-\lambda_{1} D_{11} e^{-\lambda_{1} x}-\lambda_{2} D_{12} e^{-\lambda_{2} x}-\lambda_{3} D_{13} e^{-\lambda_{3} x}-\lambda_{4} D_{14} e^{-\lambda_{4} x} \\
& Y_{3}=-\lambda_{1} D_{21} e^{-\lambda_{1} x}-\lambda_{2} D_{22} e^{-\lambda_{2} x}-\lambda_{3} D_{23} e^{-\lambda_{3} x}-\lambda_{4} D_{24} e^{-\lambda_{4} x} \\
& Y_{4}=-\lambda_{1} D_{31} e^{-\lambda_{1} x}-\lambda_{2} D_{32} e^{-\lambda_{2} x}-\lambda_{3} D_{33} e^{-\lambda_{3} x}-\lambda_{4} D_{34} e^{-\lambda_{4} x} \\
& S_{1}=P_{1}\left(Y_{1}+N_{1} Y_{3}\right)+P_{2}\left(l_{21}\right)+P_{3} l_{31}-l_{41} \\
& S_{2}=P_{1}\left(R_{1} Y_{4}\right)+P_{2}\left(l_{22}\right)+P_{3}\left(l_{32}\right)-l_{42} \\
& S_{3}=P_{1}\left(R_{2} Y_{4}\right)+P_{2}\left(l_{23}\right)+P_{3}\left(l_{33}\right)-l_{43} \\
& S_{4}=P_{1} R_{3} Y_{4}+P_{2}\left(l_{24}\right)+P_{3}\left(l_{34}\right)-\left(l_{44}\right) \\
& S_{5}=P_{4} R_{5} Y_{4}+P_{5} R_{9} Y_{4}, S_{6}=P_{4}\left(Y_{4}+N_{6} Y_{3}\right)+P_{5} N_{10} Y_{3} \\
& S_{7}=P_{4} N_{7} Y_{3}+P_{5}\left(Y_{1}+N_{11} Y_{3}\right) \\
& S_{8}=P_{4} N_{8} Y_{3}+P_{5} N_{12} Y_{3} \\
& S_{9}=P_{5} R_{5} Y_{4}+P_{6} R_{9} Y_{4}, S_{10}=P_{5}\left(Y_{1}+N_{6} Y_{3}\right)+P_{6} N_{10} Y_{3} \\
& S_{11}=P_{5} N_{7} Y_{3}+P_{6}\left(Y_{1}+N_{11} Y_{3}\right) \\
& S_{12}=P_{5} N_{8} Y_{3}+P_{6} N_{12} Y_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3} & Q_{4} \\
Q_{5} & Q_{6} & Q_{7} & Q_{8} \\
Q_{9} & Q_{10} & Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{13} & Q_{14} & Q_{15} & Q_{16}
\end{array}\right| \quad \Gamma_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-F_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3} & Q_{4} \\
-F_{1} & Q_{6} & Q_{7} & Q_{8} \\
-F_{1} & Q_{10} & Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
F_{2} & Q_{14} & Q_{15} & Q_{16}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\Gamma_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{1} & -F_{1} & Q_{3} & Q_{4} \\
Q_{5} & -F_{1} & Q_{7} & Q_{8} \\
Q_{9} & -F_{1} & Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{13} & F_{2} & Q_{15} & Q_{16}
\end{array}\right| \quad \Gamma_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & -F_{1} & Q_{4} \\
Q_{5} & Q_{6} & -F_{1} & Q_{8} \\
Q_{9} & Q_{10} & -F_{1} & Q_{12} \\
Q_{13} & Q_{14} & F_{2} & Q_{16}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\Gamma_{4}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} & Q_{3} & -F_{1} \\
Q_{5} & Q_{6} & Q_{7} & -F_{1} \\
Q_{9} & Q_{10} & Q_{1} 1 & -F_{1} \\
Q_{13} & Q_{14} & Q_{15} & F_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and $a_{0}^{0}=a_{0}, a_{2}^{0}=a_{2}, a_{3}^{0}=a_{3}$ at $x=0, A_{1}=\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\Gamma}, A_{2}=\frac{\Gamma_{2}}{\Gamma}, A_{3}=\frac{\Gamma_{3}}{\Gamma}, A_{4}=\frac{\Gamma_{4}}{\Gamma}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{11}=1-\frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)}+\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \\
& -\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \\
& D_{12}=-\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}-\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left.\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& D_{13}=-\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}-\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& D_{14}=-\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}-\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{1}{ }^{3}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}\right] \\
& D_{31}=-\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \quad D_{32}=-\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \\
& D_{33}=-\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \quad D_{34}=-\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)} \\
& D_{41}=-\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \quad D_{42}=-\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \\
& D_{43}=-\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)} \quad D_{44}=-\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

